

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, WESTERN REGION, HISTORIC COURTHOUSE

In the matter of:  
  
RANCHO SERRANO ASSOCIATION, a  
California Non-Profit Mutual Benefit  
Corporation; RON TURCO, an individual,  
  
  Petitioners.

CASE NO. RIC1512975  
  
**[Proposed] ORDER GRANTING VERIFIED  
PETITION TO AMEND BYLAWS**  
  
Date: January 22, 2016  
Time: 8:30 a.m.  
Dept.: 2  
  
Petition Filed: October 26, 2015

The verified petition of Rancho Serrano Association (“Association”) and Ron Turco, the Association’s Board President and an individual homeowner (“Turco”) for an order to reduce the percentage of affirmative votes necessary to amend the Bylaws for Rancho Serrano Association, (“Proposed Amendment”), came on regularly for hearing on January 22, 2016, at 8:30 a.m. in Department 2 of the above-entitled court, located at 4050 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92501, the Honorable \_\_\_\_\_, Judge Presiding. Pejman D. Kharrazian of the law firm of Epsten Grinnell & Howell, APC, appeared on behalf of Petitioner. [\_\_\_\_\_ appeared in opposition to the Petition.]

The Court, having considered the verified petition and the attached exhibits, the memorandum of points and authorities, and other documents in support [and in opposition to] of the Petition, having heard the arguments before it and being fully advised in the matter, finds as follows:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1. All parties entitled to notice of the hearing were provided with notice.  
Petitioner gave proper written notice of the hearing, as ordered by this Court, to all members  
of the Association no less than 30 days before the hearing.

2. It was impractical or unduly difficult to obtain the consent needed to approve  
the proposed amendment to the Bylaws, and it is appropriate, fair and equitable under the  
circumstances presented to the Court to approve the proposed amendment to the Bylaws based  
on the member consent received by the Association pursuant to the authority of Corporations  
Code section 7515.

On proof being made to the satisfaction of the Court, and for good cause shown,  
IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. The Bylaw’s requirement at Article XIII relating to the percentage of votes  
needed for approval of the Proposed Amendment to the Bylaws is reduced for the purposes of  
this Petition and the proposed amendment to the Bylaws is validly approved on the basis of the  
affirmative votes that were actually received during the balloting period that ended April 28,  
2015.

2. The Association shall mail notice of the approval and adoption of the proposed  
amendment to the Bylaws within a reasonable time following the issuance of this order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Judge of the Superior Court